Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,307	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anatoly Vortman	2835
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Primary examiner: Anatoly Vortman.	(3)	
(2) Carl R. Wesolowski, Reg. No. 40,372.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2006	Time: <u>10:30am EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 3,5,22,28, and 29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Antecedent problems have been addressed. See Examiner's Ame		DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
A-/10)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)